

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1054: National Judicial Conference for High Court Justices

27th – 29th October, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Shruti Jane Eusebius, Law Associate, NJA, Bhopal

No. of Participants : 19

No. of forms received : 13

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	84.62	15.38	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	92.31	7.69	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	84.62	15.38	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	84.62	15.38	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	66.67	33.33	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	76.92	23.08	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	53.85	46.15	-	-
c. Up to date	53.85	46.15	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	84.62	15.38	-	-

e. Related to International Legal Norms	38.46	53.85	7.69	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	84.62	15.38	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	69.23	23.08	7.69	-
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	62.50	37.50	-	-
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	satisfactory
1	61.54	38.46	85.71	14.29
2	69.23	30.77	83.33	16.67
3	84.62	15.38	100.00	-
4	84.62	15.38	100.00	-
5	69.23	30.77	100.00	-
6	69.23	30.77	71.43	28.57
7	69.23	30.77	85.71	14.29
8	69.23	30.77	85.71	14.29
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	76.92	23.08	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/	76.92	23.08	-	-

policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	69.23	30.77	-	-
VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. Practical application of law; 2. Restriction while exercising power under article 226 in judicial review.</p> <p>2. <i>Session 3: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond Text and Session 8: Precedents: Challenges of Managing Conflict; Evolving Doctrinal Coherence.</i></p> <p>3. I could know more about judicial review and the responsibility of Higher judiciary to safe guard our constitution and rights of the people.</p> <p>4. 1. Thank about judicial self-restraint; 2. Contemplate about the dangers of judicial over reach; striking the right balance in judicial process.</p> <p>5. Programme designed and prepared after putting in a lot of hard work. Interactive sessions were very fruitful. Most of the resource persons were very good.</p> <p>6. <i>Session 3: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary-</i> conference theory as presented by Mr. V. Sudhish Pai; PIL and article 142 of the constitution of India.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. Learnt to visualize, introspect and be able to deliver better.</p> <p>9. In right on trade works & IPR supervisory jurisdiction over the district judiciary; Law relating to precedents.</p> <p>10. We are going back with lot of satisfaction. We are very much indebted to National Judicial Academy and resourceful resource persons; They much updated.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. 1. Change to learn from experience of one another; 2. Resource Persons were very respective.</p> <p>13. 1. Innovative; 2. Increase perception; 3. Increased assumes of applicability.</p>			
b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. <i>Session 4: Developments in the Area of Constitutional Law: Entrenching the Constitutional Vision of Justice-</i> The grey areas which were addressed by the respective speakers were helpful to the participants.</p> <p>2. <i>Session 3: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary-</i> as there has to be a continuing imperial Communication with regard to how the district judiciary has to be treated. <i>Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond</i></p>			

	<p><i>Text-</i> as the various parameter to see the views/reading down of a statute and the interpretation of constitutional provisions is something learning. Session 8: Precedents: Challenges of Managing Conflict; Evolving Doctrinal Coherence- as there are various decisions and may be emotions interpretations of judgements.</p> <p>3. All programme were fine.</p> <p>4. Session 4: Developments in the Area of Constitutional Law: Entrenching the Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text; Session 7: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework and Session 8: Precedents: Challenges of Managing Conflict; Evolving Doctrinal Coherence.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Session 4: Developments in the Area of Constitutional Law: Entrenching the Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text- Important issues of constitutional law of India were effectively interacted.</p> <p>7. Interpretation of the constitution; It is useful in every case.</p> <p>8. Session 1: Emerging Issues on IP Regime in India; Session 3: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary Session 4: Developments in the Area of Constitutional Law: Entrenching the Constitutional Vision of Justice and Session 6: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach.</p> <p>9. Session 1: Emerging Issues on IP Regime in India; Session 3: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary- New topics.</p> <p>10. All</p> <p>11. Indian review.</p> <p>12. Interaction with Resource Persons.</p> <p>13. Session 1: Emerging Issues on IP Regime in India.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 8: Precedents: Challenges of Managing Conflict; Evolving Doctrinal Coherence- As the subject has been much discussed and the issues have already been settled.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. None</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. All sessions as attended.</p> <p>7. No part was learnt useful.</p> <p>8. All programme were useful.</p>

	<p>9. Nil</p> <p>10. All</p> <p>11. None</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. <i>Session 7: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework and Session 8: Precedents: Challenges of Managing Conflict; Evolving Doctrinal Coherence.</i></p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Upcoming laws should be the subject of discussion.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Such programme should be held on regular interval time and again.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Renovation work should be done in level 2 also raise the one carried out on level 1.</p> <p>6. Efforts should be exposed to have Supreme Court Judges (Sitting or Retired) to chair the sessions.</p> <p>7. Study materials on extremely good. But we find lack of them to read. If sent, well in advance it would be more useful.</p> <p>8. The programmes are well concerned and coordinated.</p> <p>9. Can try a bring art a list of conflicting decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>10. If some system is continued.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Presently it is doing well.</p> <p>13. There could have been more deliberation with active participation of judges by providing material topic heads earlier.</p>